



Evaluation of cauliflower genotypes to different planting dates for early production in Kathmandu valley

Navin Gopal Pradhan^{1*}, Arvind Srivastava², Arjun Kumar Shrestha² and Ishwori Prasad Gautam¹

¹National Horticulture Research Centre, Khumaltar, Lalitpur, Nepal

²Agriculture and Forestry University, Rampur, Chitwan, Nepal

*Corresponding Author's email: navin.pradhan@gmail.com

*ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9145-4811>

ARTICLE INFO

Research Paper

Received: September 12, 2022

Revised: December 22, 2022

Accepted: January 25, 2023

Published: February 01, 2023

Contents available at
<http://www.sasnepal.org.np>

Copyright 2023 © The Author(s).

Published by Society of Agricultural
Scientists Nepal (SAS-Nepal).

This is an open access article under the CC
BY-NC 4.0 license
(<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>).

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted to evaluate ten cauliflower genotypes at the National Horticulture Research Centre, Khumaltar, Lalitpur from June to December of 2019 and 2020. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Completely Block Design with ten genotypes (Chinese, Sarlahi Deepali, Pusa Katiki, Taichun, Pusa Sarad, Terai 1, Terai 2, Agheni, Cold Queen and Khumal Jyapu) planted on three dates i.e. June 25, July 15, and August 5 at a 20-day interval. The main objective of this study was to identify planting dates for cauliflower genotypes suitable recommended to planting in early-season under Kathmandu valley conditions. The results showed that planting dates significantly influenced the growth and yield of cauliflower genotypes. At 25th June planting, the genotype Sarlahi Deepali had the significantly lowest days to curd maturation (41 days after transplanting), which was at par with Pusa Katiki and Terai -2 (46 DAT) with the lowest yield of 4.0 mt ha⁻¹ and 3.2 mt ha⁻¹, respectively. However, curd weight (438.6 g) and yield (27.4 mt ha⁻¹) were recorded as the maximum in Chinese with 51 days maturity period. On the 15th July planting, Terai 2 had the lowest maturity days (44 DAT), but lower yield (14.6 mt ha⁻¹), while Cold Queen had the highest curd weight and yield (560.8 g and 35.1 mt ha⁻¹), which was at par with Chinese (546.7 g and 34.2 mt ha⁻¹). Similarly, on the 5th August planting, the genotype Terai 2 had the significantly (p<0.001) lowest days to maturity (46 DAT), followed by Sarlahi Deepali (57) and Pusa Katiki (57), whereas the genotype Cold Queen had the highest curd weight and yield (842.1 g and 52.6 mt ha⁻¹), followed by Taichun (718.8 g and 44.9 mt ha⁻¹) and Terai 1 (452 g and 28.3 mt ha⁻¹). The results revealed that genotype Sarlahi Deepali was found to be early maturing for June planting, while Terai 2 was found early for July and August planting, but were not found suitable due to lower yield. The genotypes Chinese and Cold Queen were found best for June and July planting, respectively. Similarly, Cold Queen and Taichun were promising genotypes for August planting.

Keywords: Early cauliflower, growth, planting dates, yield

Cited as: Pradhan NG, A Srivastava, AK Shrestha and IP Gautam. 2023. Evaluation of Cauliflower Genotypes for Different Planting Dates suitable to Early Production at Kathmandu Valley. Nepal Research Journal **15**(1): 82-97.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3126/narj.v15i1.51320>

INTRODUCTION

Cauliflower (*Brassica oleracea* var. *botrytis* L.) is one of the most important commercial vegetable crops in Nepal and belongs to the Brassicaceae family. Cauliflower is usually grown for its immature curd, which is an excellent source of protein, carbohydrates, dietary fibre and different minerals and vitamins (Ara et al 2009). Cauliflower area, production and productivity in Nepal are 35,764 ha, 5,74,795 mt, and 16.07 mt ha⁻¹, respectively. It ranks first in terms of area and production among all cultivated vegetables (MoALD 2020). Cauliflower is very sensitive to temperature and it is usually a cool season crop that requires low temperatures for curd initiation. Cauliflower's minimum and maximum growing temperatures are 0 and 30 °C, respectively, while the optimal growing temperature for this crop is between 15 and 22 °C. Cauliflower varieties notified by the National Seed Board of Nepal can be classified as early, mid and late-season varieties, in general. Early varieties of cauliflower require a temperature of 25-27 °C for curd formation. Similarly, mid varieties require 13-19°C for curd formation, whereas late varieties require a lower temperature, i.e., 10–16 °C (Choudhury 1996). Cauliflower is a highly preferred winter vegetable among Nepalese people, grown during the months of November-February. However, the demand for early-season cauliflower is high from August to November because some of the main festivals of Nepalese people, like Teej, Soharasad, Dashain and Tihar fall during this season (Bhattra et al 2014) and farmers also get a high price of cauliflower during these months (KFVMD 2013). During these months the majority of demand in domestic markets has been fulfilled by importing early cauliflower from India and to some extent, by growing in the high hills of Nepal, which is naturally off-season for the mid-hills and Terai of Nepal. Similarly, very little quantity of national demand was fulfilled by using registered hybrid varieties that were developed in India and other countries (HRD 2006). Due to poor quality, compactness, taste and lower yield, the imported hybrid varieties are not the choice of farmers and consumers. Some indigenous open-pollinated cauliflower varieties have good taste with disease and insect tolerance. However, they are late maturing and also not appropriate for August to November planting (HRD 2013). From the available indigenous open-pollinated cauliflower (genotypes) genetic resources within the country, it is likely difficult to produce early-season cauliflower in the mid-hills due to the start of the rainy season and high temperatures, while it is nearly impossible to grow early-season cauliflower in the Terai and plains of Nepal in open field due to flooding, high temperature and water stagnation (Bhattra et al 2014).

The National Horticulture Research Centre (NHRC) has already started the collection and characterization of early cauliflower genotypes from different locations and also from neighboring countries, i.e., China and India. As a result, nine different genotypes of cauliflower were selected in the year 2018. The response of these cauliflower genotypes differs from location to location and planting date as they are collected from different growing environments. Some genotypes may perform well in the early season, while others may perform better in late-season planting. Therefore, the present study was undertaken to identify suitable genotypes for early-season planting in the mid-hills of Nepal.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted at the research field of the National Horticulture Research Centre, Khumaltar, and Lalitpur for two consecutive years in 2019 and 2020, from June to December. Geographically, Khumaltar is located in the hilly region of Nepal with a subtropical climate at 27° 67' N Latitude and 85° 31' E Longitude at an altitude of 1365 masl. The area has a warm to hot summer and a cool to mild winter with infrequent frost and a

distinct rainy season. The average maximum and minimum temperatures were 25 °C and 14 °C, respectively, and the average temperature was 19.5 °C during the entire research period. Similarly, a total rainfall of 972 mm and an average relative humidity of 77% were recorded during the entire research period. The soil composition of the study area was found to be sandy loam to clay loam with a pH of around 5.95–6.04. Chemical analysis of soil was carried out at the National Soil Science Research Centre (NSSRC) of the NARC for organic matter (%), total nitrogen (%), available phosphorus (mg kg⁻¹) and potassium (mg kg⁻¹) analysis. The report of the soil test was presented in [Table 1](#).

Table 1. Physical and chemical characteristics of soil at the research site, Khumaltar, Lalitpur during 2019-2020

| Properties | 2019 | 2020 |
|--|--------|--------|
| Organic matter (%) | 2.80 | 4.84 |
| Total Nitrogen (%) | 0.12 | 0.16 |
| Available P ₂ O ₅ (mg kg ⁻¹) | 181.79 | 143.05 |
| Exchangeable K ₂ O (mg kg ⁻¹) | 92.84 | 121.8 |
| pH | 5.95 | 6.04 |

The design of the experiment was Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with ten treatments (Chinese, Sarlahi Deepali, Pusa Katiki, Taichun, Pusa Sarad, Terai 1, Terai 2, Agheni, Cold Queen and Khumal Jyapu) with three replications of each. Among ten genotypes, seeds of two released varieties (Sarlahi Deepali and Khumal Jyapu) were collected from National Horticulture Research Centre and used as standard checks. Seeds of the remaining eight genotypes were introduced from China and India with the help of the Nepal Seed and Fertilizer (NSAF) project. Seed of ten different genotypes of cauliflower was sown on the nursery bed on three different dates, i.e., Ashad 10 (June 25), Ashad 30 (July 15) and Shrawan 20 (August 5) at a 20-day interval. Twenty two days old, healthy and uniform seedlings from each sown date were transplanted in the main field. The plot size was 4m², and a spacing of 40 cm × 40 cm was maintained between row to row and plant to plant, accommodating twenty-five seedlings per plot. Fertilizer was applied at the rate of 20 tons FYM and 200:120:80 kg NPK ha⁻¹. The full dose of FYM, phosphorous, potash and half dose of nitrogen as a basal applied in a pit one week before transplanting while only the half dose, whereas the remaining half dose of nitrogen was applied in two split doses at 15 and 30 days after transplanting. Data were recorded from nine plants in a selected inner row from each treatment. Curd initiation days were recorded as fifty percent of the plants in the plot showed curd, while maturity days were recorded, when fifty percent of the plants in the plot were ready for harvesting. Other parameters were recorded during harvesting. Plant height was measured from the ground level to the growing tip of the longest leaf with the help of a measuring scale; number of leaves in a single plant was counted and noted. Similarly, for the ground covered by the plant, the criss-cross spread of the plant was measured by measuring scale and the average was computed. The total shoot weight, root weight and curd weight were measured by electronic balance while, curd diameter and curd height were measured by measuring scale.

RESULTS

Planting date 25 June

Curd initiation and maturity days

The days to 50% curd initiation of different cauliflower genotypes for both years were presented in [Table 2](#). The mean results from both years showed that the cauliflower genotypes differ significantly (P<0.05) from each other in terms of curd initiation days. From

pooled results, the maximum days to curd initiation was reported in genotype Cold Queen (63 days after transplanting), which was at par with Khumal Jyapu (61 DAT). However, the lowest days to curd initiation was recorded in genotype Terai-2 (33 DAT), which indicates earliness in harvesting. Significant ($P<0.05$) differences among genotypes were observed on maturity days during both years. The highest maturity days was recorded in Cold Queen (88 DAT) followed by Khumal Jyapu (74 DAT) and the lowest days to maturity was recorded in Sarlahi Deepali (41 DAT) (Table 2).

Table 2. Effect of different cauliflower genotypes on curd initiation and maturity days during 25th June planting of 2019 and 2020 at Khumaltar, Lalitpur

| Genotypes | Curd initiation days | | | Curd maturity days | | |
|----------------|----------------------|-------|------|--------------------|-------|-------|
| | 2019 | 2020 | Mean | 2019 | 2020 | Mean |
| Chinese | 43bc | 32a | 38ab | 56c | 46abc | 51bcd |
| PusaKatiki | 41abc | 34a | 38ab | 48b | 44ab | 46abc |
| SarlahiDeepali | 39ab | 34a | 36ab | 43a | 39a | 41a |
| Taichun | 46cd | 37a | 41ab | 58c | 54c | 56d |
| PusaSarad | 45c | 35a | 40ab | 57c | 46abc | 52cd |
| Agheni | 50b | 36a | 43b | 60c | 53bc | 57d |
| Terai 1 | 37a | 37a | 37ab | 51b | 48abc | 50bc |
| Terai 2 | 35a | 31a | 33a | 48b | 44ab | 46abc |
| KhumalJyapu | 65e | 56b | 61c | 74d | 75d | 74e |
| Cold Queen | 76f | 51b | 63c | 92e | 85e | 88f |
| Mean | 48 | 38 | 43 | 59 | 53 | 56 |
| F test | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** |
| LSD (0.05) | 5.190 | 6.081 | 8.15 | 4.00 | 8.690 | 5.882 |

*** $P<0.001$ Figures with same letter (s) within the same column do not differ significantly by Duncan Multiple Range Test at 0.05 level.

Plant height and leaf number

The plant height and leaf number of different cauliflower genotypes for both years were presented in Table 3. From pooled analysis among ten genotypes highest plant height (58.4 cm) was recorded in Khumal Jyapu followed by Agheni (44.6 cm) and the least was recorded in Terai-2 (21.7 cm) followed by remaining all genotypes. The mean results from both years showed that the cauliflower genotypes differ significantly ($P<0.05$) from each other in terms of leaf number.

Table 3. Effect of different cauliflower genotypes on plant height and leaf number during 25th June planting of 2019 and 2020 at Khumaltar, Lalitpur

| Genotypes | Plant height (cm) | | | Leaf number | | |
|----------------|-------------------|---------|-------|------------------|-------|-------|
| | 2019 | 2020 | Mean | 2019 | 2020 | Mean |
| Chinese | 37.18c | 33.5abc | 35.3b | 19 ^{ab} | 16a | 17ab |
| PusaKatiki | 29.83b | 31.1abc | 30.4b | 18a | 18a | 18abc |
| SarlahiDeepali | 31.53bc | 37.4bc | 34.3b | 19ab | 16a | 18abc |
| Taichun | 30.12ab | 31.6abc | 30.9b | 22bc | 18a | 20bc |
| PusaSarad | 30.62bc | 42.1bc | 36.4b | 19ab | 16a | 17abc |
| Agheni | 44.98d | 44.3c | 44.6c | 19ab | 16a | 17ab |
| Terai 1 | 32.87bc | 28.3ab | 30.6b | 23c | 18a | 20c |
| Terai 2 | 23.58a | 19.9a | 21.7a | 16a | 14a | 15a |
| KhumalJyapu | 54.34e | 62.5d | 58.4d | 27d | 28b | 27d |
| Cold Queen | 32.05bc | 32.2abc | 32.1b | 17a | 16a | 17a |
| Mean | 34.71 | 36.3 | 35.5 | 20 | 17 | 19 |
| F test | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** |
| LSD (0.05) | 6.23 | 12.92 | 6.918 | 3.22 | 3.057 | 2.691 |
| CV% | 10.5 | 20.8 | 16.8 | 9.4 | 10.2 | 12.4 |

*** $P<0.001$, Figures with same letter (s) within the same column do not differ significantly by Duncan Multiple Range Test at 0.05 level.

From pooled results, the maximum leaf number at maturity stage was reported in genotype Khumal Jyapu (27 leaves at maturity) which was followed by Terai 1 (20 leaves at maturity) and Taichun (20 leaves at maturity). However, the lowest number of leaves was recorded in genotype Terai 2 (15 leaves at maturity) which indicates low harvesting (Table 3).

Root weight and shoot weight

Root weight and shoot weight of different cauliflower genotypes were presented in Table 4. Significant ($P < 0.05$) differences among genotypes were observed in terms of root weight during both years. The highest root weight was recorded in Khumal Jyapu (88.5 g) followed by Agheni (40.6 g) and the least weight was recorded in Terai 2 (15.4 g) which was at par with Pusa Katiki (15.7 g). The shoot weight of cauliflower was influenced by different genotypes during both research years. From pooled analysis among ten genotypes highest shoot weight (1142.4 g) was recorded in genotype Khumal Jyapu followed by Chinese (831.4 g) and the least weight was recorded in Pusa Katiki (346.4 g) which was at par with Cold Queen (376.4 g) (Table 4).

Table 4. Effect of different cauliflower genotypes on root weight and shoot weight during 25th June planting of 2019 and 2020 at Khumaltar, Lalitpur

| Genotypes | Root weight (g) | | | Shoot weight (g) | | |
|----------------|-----------------|--------|---------|------------------|---------|----------|
| | 2019 | 2020 | Mean | 2019 | 2020 | Mean |
| Chinese | 36.6cd | 23.1ab | 29.9bcd | 1053.5cd | 609.3a | 831.4d |
| PusaKatiki | 17.98ab | 14.1a | 15.7a | 370.2a | 322.6a | 346.4a |
| SarlahiDeepali | 16.93ab | 19.6ab | 18.7ab | 402.2a | 402.5a | 402.3abc |
| Taichun | 37.35cd | 30.6ab | 34.0cd | 768.4bc | 539.1a | 654.0cd |
| PusaSarad | 27.20abc | 25.3ab | 26.2abc | 606.3ab | 565.7a | 586.0bcd |
| Agheni | 52.76d | 28.5ab | 40.6d | 788.4bc | 549.9a | 669.1cd |
| Terai 1 | 28.73abc | 18.8a | 23.8abc | 784.4bc | 415.0a | 599.7bcd |
| Terai 2 | 15.59a | 15.2a | 15.4a | 406.0a | 397.9a | 402.0ab |
| KhumalJyapu | 89.17e | 87.9c | 88.5e | 1157.5d | 1127.3b | 1142.4e |
| Cold Queen | 34.33bc | 36.7b | 35.5cd | 375.4a | 377.3a | 376.4ab |
| Mean | 34.7 | 30.0 | 32.8 | 671 | 531.0 | 601.0 |
| F test | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** |
| LSD (0.05) | 16.57 | 15.48 | 11.33 | 294.2 | 317.3 | 226.9 |
| CV% | 27.1 | 30.1 | 29.7 | 25.5 | 34.9 | 32.5 |

** $P < 0.01$, Figures with same letter (s) within the same column do not differ significantly by Duncan Multiple Range Test at 0.05 level.

Curd diameter and curd height

The curd diameter and curd height of different cauliflower genotypes for both years were presented below in Table 5. The mean results from both years showed that the cauliflower genotypes differ significantly ($P < 0.05$) each other in terms of curd diameter.

From pooled results, the maximum curd diameter was reported in genotype Chinese (12.7cm), which was closely followed by genotype Terai 1 (11.4 cm). However, the minimum curd diameter was reported in genotype Pusa Katiki (6.8 cm), which was at par with Sarlahi Deepali (7.1 cm). Significant ($P < 0.05$) differences among genotypes were observed in terms of curd height during both years. The maximum curd height was reported in genotype Khumal Jyapu (11.8 cm) followed by Chinese (8.3cm) and the minimum height was observed in genotype Taichun (6.4 cm) which was at par with Terai 2 (6.4 cm) (Table 5).

Table 5. Effect of different cauliflower genotypes on curd diameter and curd height during 25th June planting of 2019 and 2020 at Khumaltar, Lalitpur

| Genotypes | Curd diameter (cm) | | | Curd height (cm) | | |
|----------------|--------------------|---------|---------|------------------|-------|-------|
| | 2019 | 2020 | Mean | 2019 | 2020 | Mean |
| Chinese | 14.14c | 11.2d | 12.7d | 9.13bc | 7.4ab | 8.3a |
| PusaKatiki | 8.43ab | 6.8ab | 6.8a | 8.07abc | 6.2ab | 6.9a |
| SarlahiDeepali | 7.39a | 6.3a | 7.1a | 6.58a | 8.9bc | 7.9a |
| Taichun | 12.06c | 8.4abcd | 10.2bcd | 7.51abc | 5.3a | 6.4a |
| PusaSarad | 10.84bc | 9.6bcd | 10.2bcd | 7.39abc | 6.4ab | 6.9a |
| Agheni | 12.01c | 8.4abcd | 10.2bcd | 8.89abc | 6.1ab | 7.5a |
| Terai 1 | 13.86c | 9.0abcd | 11.4cd | 9.58c | 6.3ab | 8.0a |
| Terai 2 | 11.65bc | 10.4cd | 11.0cd | 6.94ab | 5.9ab | 6.4a |
| KhumaJyapu | 11.09bc | 7.5abc | 9.3abc | 13.15d | 10.5c | 11.8b |
| Cold Queen | 8.35ab | 6.8ab | 7.6ab | 7.48abc | 6.0ab | 6.7a |
| Mean | 10.98 | 8.4 | 9.71 | 8.48 | 6.9 | 7.69 |
| F test | ** | ** | *** | *** | ** | *** |
| LSD (0.05) | 3.13 | 2.70 | 2.525 | 2.2 | 2.809 | 2.041 |
| CV% | 16.6 | 18.7 | 22.4 | 15.3 | 23.7 | 22.9 |

P<0.01, *P<0.001, Figures with same letter (s) within the same column do not differ significantly by Duncan Multiple Range Test at 0.05 level.

Curd weight and yield

Curd weight and yield (mt ha⁻¹) of different cauliflower genotypes for both years is presented in Table 6. The mean results showed that the cauliflower genotypes differ significantly (P<0.01) with each other in terms of curd weight. From pooled analysis among ten genotypes, the highest curd weight was recorded in genotype Chinese (438.6 g) followed by Terai 2 (223.8 g) and the least curd weight was recorded in Pusa Katiki (51.1 g) which was at par with Sarlahi Deepali (64.0 g). Significant differences among genotypes were observed in terms of yield during both years. The highest yield was recorded in genotype Chinese (27.3 mt ha⁻¹) followed by Terai 2 (14.0 mt ha⁻¹) and least yield was recorded in genotype Pusa Katiki (3.2 mt ha⁻¹) which was at par with Sarlahi Deepali (4.0 mt ha⁻¹) (Table 6).

Table 6. Effect of different cauliflower genotypes on curd weight and yield (mt ha⁻¹) during 25th June planting of 2019 and 2020 at Khumaltar, Lalitpur

| Genotypes | Curd weight (g) | | | Yield mt ha ⁻¹ | | |
|----------------|-----------------|---------|---------|---------------------------|-------|--------|
| | 2019 | 2020 | Mean | 2019 | 2020 | Mean |
| Chinese | 459.5f | 417.8e | 438.6e | 28.7f | 26.1e | 27.4e |
| PusaKatiki | 55.2a | 47a | 51.1a | 3.5a | 2.9a | 3.2a |
| SarlahiDeepali | 56.8a | 71.2a | 64a | 3.6a | 4.4a | 4.0a |
| Taichun | 261.2e | 144.5c | 202.9cd | 16.3e | 9.0c | 12.7cd |
| PusaSarad | 171.8c | 133.3bc | 152.5bc | 10.7c | 8.3bc | 9.5bc |
| Agheni | 210d | 137.5bc | 173.7cd | 13.1d | 8.6bc | 10.9cd |
| Terai 1 | 279.1e | 129.8bc | 204.5cd | 17.4e | 8.1bc | 12.8cd |
| Terai 2 | 226.7d | 220.8d | 223.8d | 14.2d | 13.8d | 14.0d |
| KhumaJyapu | 203.1cd | 113b | 158.1bc | 12.7cd | 7.1b | 9.9bc |
| Cold Queen | 109.7b | 124.3bc | 117b | 6.9b | 7.8bc | 7.3b |
| Mean | 202.3 | 153.9 | 178.6 | 12.7 | 9.6 | 11.2 |
| F test | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** |
| LSD (0.05) | 32.88 | 24.19 | 50.4 | 2.055 | 1.512 | 3.128 |
| CV% | 9.4 | 9.2 | 24.3 | 9.4 | 9.2 | 24.2 |

P<0.01, *P<0.001, Figures with same letter (s) within the same column do not differ significantly by Duncan Multiple Range Test at 0.05 level.

Planting date July 15

Curd initiation days and maturity days

The days to 50% curd initiation of different cauliflower genotypes for both years were presented in Table 7. The mean from both years showed that the cauliflower genotypes differ significantly ($P < 0.001$) with each other in terms of curd initiation days. From pooled results, the maximum days to curd initiation was reported in genotype Cold Queen (66 Days after transplanting) followed by Khumal Jyapu (59 DAT). However, the lowest days to curd initiation were recorded in Terai 1 (36 DAT) which was at par with Terai 2 (36 DAT). It indicates earliness in harvesting. Significant differences among genotypes were observed on maturity days during both years. The highest maturity days was recorded in genotype Cold Queen (94 DAT) followed by Khumal Jyapu (74 DAT) and the lowest days to maturity was reported in genotype Terai 2 (44 DAT) which was at par with Sarlahi Deepali (45 DAT) (Table 7).

Table 7. Effect of different cauliflower genotypes on curd initiation and maturity days during 15th July planting of 2019 and 2020 at Khumaltar, Lalitpur

| Genotypes | Curd initiation days | | | Maturity days | | |
|-----------------|----------------------|-------|------|---------------|------------------|------|
| | 2019 | 2020 | Mean | 2019 | 2020 | Mean |
| Chinese | 39b | 34a | 36a | 51b | 47 ^{ab} | 49a |
| Pusa Katiki | 38b | 37ab | 37a | 44a | 47 ^{ab} | 46a |
| Sarlahi Deepali | 38b | 39ab | 38a | 45a | 45 ^{ab} | 45a |
| Taichun | 50d | 43bc | 47bc | 69d | 62 ^c | 65c |
| Pusa Sarad | 45c | 44bc | 44b | 60c | 56 ^{bc} | 57b |
| Agheni | 53e | 49cd | 51c | 61c | 63 ^c | 62bc |
| Terai 1 | 38b | 33a | 36a | 50b | 46 ^{ab} | 48a |
| Terai 2 | 33a | 38ab | 36a | 45a | 43 ^a | 44a |
| Khumal Jyapu | 62f | 55de | 59d | 71d | 78 ^d | 74d |
| Cold Queen | 72g | 61e | 66e | 84e | 103 ^e | 94e |
| Mean | 47 | 43 | 45 | 58 | 59 | 58 |
| F test | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** |
| LSD (0.05) | 1.43 | 6.509 | 5 | 5.01 | 9.69 | 7 |
| CV% | 1.8 | 8.8 | 9 | 5 | 9.6 | 10 |

*** $P < 0.001$, Figures with same letter (s) within the same column do not differ significantly by Duncan Multiple Range Test at 0.05 level.

3.2.3 Plant height and leaf number

The plant height and leaf number of different cauliflower genotypes for both years were presented in Table 8. The pooled results from both years showed that the cauliflower genotypes differ significantly ($P < 0.001$) with each other in terms of plant height. From pooled results, the maximum height at the maturity stage was recorded in Khumal Jyapu (67.6 cm) which was followed by Agheni (51.9 cm). However, the lowest plant height was reported in Terai 2 (26.8 cm).

Significant ($P < 0.001$) differences among genotypes were observed in terms of leaf number. From pooled results, the maximum leaf number was reported in Khumal Jyapu (25 leaves) followed by Terai 1 (18 leaves). However, the lowest leaf number was recorded in genotype Sarlahi Deepali (15 leaves) which was at par with Terai 2 (15 leaves) (Table 8).

Table 8. Effect of different cauliflower genotypes on plant height and leaf number during 15th July planting of 2019 and 2020 at Khumaltar, Lalitpur

| Genotypes | Plant height (cm) | | | Leaf number | | |
|----------------|-------------------|-------|--------|-------------|-------|--------|
| | 2019 | 2020 | Mean | 2019 | 2020 | Mean |
| Chinese | 42.9bc | 45.9b | 44.4bc | 18c | 16ab | 17cd |
| PusaKatiki | 37.1ab | 44.3b | 40.7b | 16a | 15ab | 15abc |
| SarlahiDeepali | 39.2abc | 43.5b | 41.4b | 15a | 14a | 15a |
| Taichun | 38.0ab | 48.5b | 42.8b | 19c | 17bc | 18d |
| PusaSarad | 46.6bc | 46.7b | 44.1bc | 18bc | 15ab | 16abcd |
| Agheni | 50.4cd | 53.4b | 51.9c | 19c | 15ab | 17bcd |
| Terai 1 | 35.0ab | 43.2b | 39.1b | 19c | 17bc | 18d |
| Terai 2 | 28.4a | 25.2a | 26.8a | 16a | 14a | 15ab |
| KhumalJyapu | 61.1d | 67.6c | 64.4d | 24d | 26d | 25e |
| Cold Queen | 37.6ab | 48.1b | 42.9b | 16ab | 18c | 17cd |
| Mean | 41.6 | 46.6 | 43.9 | 17 | 17 | 17 |
| F test | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** |
| LSD (0.05) | 11.02 | 10.96 | 7.176 | 1.78 | 2.041 | 2 |
| CV% | 15.4 | 13.7 | 15.1 | 5.8 | 7.1 | 10 |

***P<0.001, Figures with same letter (s) within the same column do not differ significantly by Duncan Multiple Range Test at 0.05 level.

Root weight and shoot weight

Root weight and shoot weight of different cauliflower genotypes for both years were presented in Table 9. The mean results from both years showed that the cauliflowers genotypes differ significantly (P<0.001) with each other in terms of Root weight. From pooled results, the maximum root weight was recorded in Khumal Jyapu (91.8 g) followed by Cold Queen (63.1 g). However, the lowest root weight was recorded in Terai 2 (25.8 g). Significant (P<0.001) differences among genotypes were observed in shoot weight during both years. The maximum shoot weight was recorded in genotype Khumal Jyapu (1658.1 g) followed by genotype Chinese (1132.8 g) and the minimum shoot weight was recorded in genotype Sarlahi Deepali (481.5 g) which was at par with PusaKatiki (524.2) (Table 9).

Table 9. Effect of different cauliflower genotypes on root weight and shoot weight during 15th July planting of 2019 and 2020 at Khumaltar, Lalitpur

| Genotypes | Root weight (g) | | | Shoot weight (g) | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|--------|---------------------|------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2019 | 2020 | Mean | 2019 | 2020 | Mean |
| Chinese | 45.15a | 41.1ab | 43.1 ^{abc} | 1259.5 | 1005.7ab | 1132.8c |
| Pusa Katiki | 29.73a | 42.7ab | 36.2ab | 453.5 | 594.8a | 524.2a |
| Sarlahi Deepali | 31.63a | 38.6ab | 35.1ab | 502.5 | 460.5a | 481.5a |
| Taichun | 38.87a | 64.4bc | 51.6bc | 982.4 | 1269.6b | 1126.0c |
| Pusa Sarad | 31.78a | 48.1ab | 39.6ab | 909.7 | 915.5ab | 912.6abc |
| Agheni | 31.01a | 46.0ab | 38.5ab | 924.2 | 971.2ab | 947.7bc |
| Terai 1 | 29.85a | 31.4a | 30.6ab | 740.0 | 810.8ab | 775.0abc |
| Terai 2 | 29.28a | 22.4a | 25.8a | 680.1 | 512.0a | 596.1ab |
| Khumal Jyapu | 72.02b | 111.5d | 91.8d | 1375.5 | 1940.7c | 1658.1d |
| Cold Queen | 40.92a | 85.4cd | 63.1c | 685.9 | 1394.9b | 1040.4c |
| Mean | 38 | 53.1 | 45.5 | 851 | 988.0 | 911 |
| F test | *** | *** | *** | 0.011 | *** | *** |
| LSD (0.05) | 15.13 | 27.59 | 19.8 | 476.7 | 533 | 371.7 |
| CV% | 23.2 | 30.3 | 37.6 | 32.6 | 31.5 | 35.1 |

***P<0.001, Figures with same letter (s) within the same column do not differ significantly by Duncan Multiple Range Test at 0.05 level.

Curd diameter and Curd height

The curd diameter and curd height of different cauliflower genotypes for both years were presented in Table 10. The mean results from both years showed that the cauliflower

genotypes differ significantly ($P<0.001$) with each other in terms of Curd diameter. From pooled results, the maximum curd diameter was recorded in Khumal Jyapu (14.6 cm) which was at par with genotype Chinese (14.2 cm). However, the minimum curd diameter was recorded in Sarlahi Deepali (8.3 cm). Significant ($P<0.001$) differences among genotypes were observed from both years in terms of Curd height. The maximum curd height was recorded in genotype Khumal Jyapu (11.6cm) and the minimum curd height was recorded in genotype Sarlahi Deepali (7.3 cm) which was at par with Pusa Katiki (7.5 cm) (Table 10).

Table 10. Effect of different cauliflower genotypes on curd diameter and curd height during 15th July planting of 2019 and 2020 at Khumaltar, Lalitpur

| Genotypes | Curd Diameter (cm) | | | Curd height (cm) | | |
|----------------|--------------------|--------|--------|------------------|--------|-------|
| | 2019 | 2020 | Mean | 2019 | 2020 | Mean |
| Chinese | 14.64 | 13.7cd | 14.2bc | 10.07 | 8.6bc | 9.4b |
| PusaKatiki | 8.83 | 9.5ab | 9.2a | 7.59 | 7.5abc | 7.5ab |
| SarlahiDeepali | 9.10 | 7.4a | 8.3a | 8.17 | 6.4a | 7.3a |
| Taichun | 12.87 | 13.8cd | 13.3bc | 8.08 | 8.3abc | 8.2ab |
| PusaSarad | 11.95 | 12.9cd | 12.4bc | 7.69 | 8.1abc | 7.9ab |
| Agheni | 12.58 | 12.2bc | 12.4b | 8.97 | 8.8bc | 8.9ab |
| Terai 1 | 12.48 | 14.0cd | 13.2bc | 9.11 | 9.0c | 9.1ab |
| Terai 2 | 12.62 | 11.6bc | 12.1b | 10.67 | 6.8ab | 8.7ab |
| KhumalJyapu | 13.92 | 15.3d | 14.6c | 11.14 | 12.0d | 11.6c |
| Cold Queen | 13.34 | 13.8cd | 13.6bc | 8.76 | 8.6bc | 8.7ab |
| Mean | 12.23 | 12.4 | 12.3 | 9.03 | 8.4 | 8.7 |
| F test | ns | *** | *** | ns | *** | *** |
| LSD (0.05) | 3.16 | 2.596 | 1.9 | 2.40 | 1.842 | 1.6 |
| CV% | 15.1 | 12.2 | 13.4 | 15.5 | 12.7 | 16.0 |

Ns: non significant, *** $P<0.001$, Figures with same letter (s) within the same column do not differ significantly by Duncan Multiple Range Test at 0.05 level.

Cauliflower yield

Curd weight and Yield (t/ha) of different cauliflower genotypes for both years were presented in Table 11. The mean result shows that the cauliflower genotypes differ significantly ($P<0.001$) with each other in terms of Curd weight.

Table 11. Effect of different cauliflower genotypes on curd weight and yield mt ha⁻¹ during 15th July planting of 2019 and 2020 at Khumaltar, Lalitpur

| Genotypes | Curd weight (g) | | | Yield mt ha ⁻¹ | | |
|----------------|-----------------|---------|--------|---------------------------|--------|-------|
| | 2019 | 2020 | Mean | 2019 | 2020 | Mean |
| Chinese | 666.1g | 427.3e | 546.7c | 41.6g | 26.7e | 34.2c |
| PusaKatiki | 80.3a | 112.7b | 96.5a | 5.0a | 7.0a | 6.0a |
| SarlahiDeepali | 98.6a | 97.3a | 97.9a | 6.2a | 6.1a | 6.1a |
| Taichun | 347.1ef | 431.5e | 389.3b | 21.7ef | 27.0e | 24.3b |
| PusaSarad | 298.8cd | 305.5bc | 302.1b | 18.7cd | 19.1bc | 18.9b |
| Agheni | 273.7c | 312.4c | 293.1b | 17.1c | 19.5c | 18.3b |
| Terai 1 | 336.8ef | 367.3d | 352.1b | 21.1ef | 23.0d | 22.0b |
| Terai 2 | 315.3de | 264.1b | 289.7b | 19.7de | 16.5b | 18.1b |
| KhumalJyapu | 233.2b | 482.3f | 357.8b | 14.6b | 30.1f | 22.4b |
| Cold Queen | 365.3f | 756.4g | 560.8c | 22.8f | 47.3g | 35.1c |
| Mean | 301.5 | 355.7 | 329.0 | 18.8 | 22.2 | 20.5 |
| F test | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** |
| LSD (0.05) | 36.01 | 43.12 | 112.1 | 2.25 | 2.695 | 7.006 |
| CV% | 7 | 7.1 | 29.4 | 7 | 7.1 | 29.4 |

*** $P<0.001$, Figures with same letter (s) within the same column do not differ significantly by Duncan Multiple Range Test at 0.05 level.

From pooled analysis among ten genotypes, the maximum curd weight was recorded in genotype Cold Queen (560.8 g) which was at par with Chinese (546.7 g). However, the

minimum curd weight was recorded in genotype Pusa Katiki (96.5 g) which was at par with Sarlahi Deepali (97.9 g). Significant ($P<0.001$) differences were observed among genotypes in both years in terms of Yield (mt ha^{-1}). From pooled analysis, the maximum Yield (t ha^{-1}) was recorded in genotype Cold Queen (35.1 mt) which was at par with genotype Chinese (34.2 mt). However, the minimum Yield (mt ha^{-1}) was recorded in genotype Pusa Katiki which was at par with Sarlahi Deepali (6.1 mt) (Table 11).

Planting date August 5

Curd initiation days and Maturity days

The days to 50% curd initiation of different cauliflower genotypes for both years were presented in Table 12. The mean from both years showed that the cauliflower genotypes differ significantly ($P<0.001$) with each other in terms of curd initiation days. From pooled results, the maximum days to curd initiation days was recorded in genotype Cold Queen (65 Days after transplanting) which was at par with Khumal Jyapu (60 DAT). However, the minimum days to curd initiation was recorded in Terai 2 (34 DAT). It shows earliness in harvesting. Significant differences among genotypes were observed on maturity days during both years. The highest maturity days was recorded in genotype Cold Queen (79 DAT) which was followed by Agheni (70 DAT) and the lowest maturity days was recorded in genotype Terai-2 (46 DAT) (Table 12).

Table 12. Effect of different cauliflower genotypes on curd initiation, maturity days and plant height during 5th August planting of 2019 and 2020 at Khumaltar, Lalitpur

| Genotypes | Curd initiation days | | | Maturity days | | |
|-----------------|----------------------|-------|------|---------------|------------------|-------|
| | 2019 | 2020 | Mean | 2019 | 2020 | Mean |
| Chinese | 40ab | 32ab | 36ab | 54b | 66 ^{bc} | 60bc |
| Pusa Katiki | 41abc | 39b | 40ab | 56b | 59 ^b | 57b |
| Sarlahi Deepali | 44c | 41b | 43bc | 50ab | 64 ^{bc} | 57b |
| Taichun | 45c | 52c | 48c | 68c | 66 ^{bc} | 67cd |
| Pusa Sarad | 42abc | 41b | 41b | 54b | 64 ^{bc} | 59bc |
| Agheni | 44bc | 52c | 48c | 69c | 71 ^c | 70d |
| Terai 1 | 41abc | 40b | 40ab | 54b | 72 ^c | 63bcd |
| Terai 2 | 39a | 28a | 34a | 47a | 45 ^a | 46a |
| Khumal Jyapu | 59d | 61d | 60d | 71c | 63 ^{bc} | 67cd |
| Cold Queen | 70e | 60cd | 65d | 86d | 72 ^c | 79e |
| Mean | 47 | 45 | 46 | 61 | 64 | 63 |
| F test | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** |
| LSD (0.05) | 3.28 | 7.953 | 6 | 5.207 | 8.994 | 8 |
| CV% | 4.1 | 10.4 | 11 | 5.1 | 8.2 | 11 |

*** $P<0.001$, Figures with same letter (s) within the same column do not differ significantly by Duncan Multiple Range Test at 0.05 level.

Plant height and leaf number

Plant height and leaf number of different cauliflower genotypes for both years were presented in Table 13. The mean results from both years showed that the cauliflower genotypes differ significantly ($P<0.001$) with each other in terms of Plant height. From pooled results, the maximum plant height was recorded in genotype Khumal Jyapu (56.2 cm) which was at par with genotype Agheni (50.8 cm). However, the minimum plant height was recorded in Terai 2 (21.2 cm). Significant differences were observed between the genotypes of cauliflower or both years in terms of Leaf number. From the pooled results it showed that the maximum Leaf number was recorded in genotype Khumal Jyapu (19 leaves) which was followed by Cold Queen (18 leaves) and the minimum leaf number was recorded in genotype Sarlahi Deepali (14 leaves) which was at par with Terai 2 (14 leaves) (Table 13).

Table 13. Effect of different cauliflower genotypes on plant height and leaf number during 5th August planting of 2019 and 2020 at Khumaltar, Lalitpur

| Genotypes | Plant height (cm) | | | Leaf number | | |
|----------------|---------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------|------------------|-------------------|
| | 2019 | 2020 | Mean | 2019 | 2020 | Mean |
| Chinese | 40.85 ^{bc} | 40 ^b | 40.6 ^{cd} | 15 | 16 ^a | 15 ^{abc} |
| PusaKatiki | 38.37 ^b | 35.8 ^b | 37.1 ^{bc} | 15 | 15 ^a | 15 ^{ab} |
| SarlahiDeepali | 35.60 ^b | 38.4 ^b | 37.0 ^{bc} | 14 | 14 ^a | 14 ^a |
| Taichun | 39.40 ^b | 36.5 ^b | 38.0 ^c | 15 | 19 ^c | 17 ^{bcd} |
| PusaSarad | 34.48 ^b | 40.0 ^b | 37.2 ^{bc} | 14 | 16 ^a | 15 ^{ab} |
| Agheni | 48.59 ^c | 53.1 ^c | 50.8 ^e | 14 | 16 ^{ab} | 15 ^{ab} |
| Terai 1 | 26.58 ^a | 36.5 ^b | 31.5 ^b | 17 | 18 ^{bc} | 17 ^{cde} |
| Terai 2 | 21.15 ^a | 21.3 ^a | 21.2 ^a | 14 | 14 ^a | 14 ^a |
| KhumalJyapu | 61.21 ^d | 51.3 ^c | 56.2 ^e | 17 | 20 ^c | 19 ^e |
| Cold Queen | 48.78 ^c | 41.8 ^b | 45.3 ^d | 16 | 20 ^c | 18 ^{de} |
| Mean | 39.50 | 39.5 | 39.5 | 15 | 17 | 16 |
| F test | *** | *** | *** | 0.063 | *** | *** |
| LSD (0.05) | 7.28 | 5.809 | 5.5 | 2.42 | 1.997 | 2 |
| CV% | 11.6 | 8.6 | 12.0 | 9.4 | 6.9 | 11 |

***P<0.001, Figures with same letter (s) within the same column do not differ significantly by Duncan Multiple Range Test at 0.05 level

Root weight and shoot weight

The root weight and shoot weight of different genotypes for both years were shown in Table 14. The mean results from both years showed that the cauliflower genotypes differ significantly (P<0.001) in terms of root weight. From the pooled results the maximum root weight was recorded in genotype Cold Queen (65 g) which was followed by Khumal Jyapu (62 g). However, the minimum root weight was recorded in genotype Terai 2 (16 g). Significance difference was reported in genotypes from both years in terms of shoot weight. From the pooled results the maximum shoot weight was recorded in genotype Cold Queen (1595.1 g) which was followed by Taichun (1127.2 g). However, the minimum shoot weight was recorded in genotype Terai 2 (306.9 g) (Table 14).

Table 14. Effect of different cauliflower genotypes on root weight and shoot weight during 5th August planting of 2019 and 2020 at Khumaltar, Lalitpur

| Genotypes | Root weight (g) | | | Shoot weight (g) | | |
|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | 2019 | 2020 | Mean | 2019 | 2020 | Mean |
| Chinese | 24.09 ^{abc} | 37.5 ^{ab} | 31 ^{ab} | 808.4 ^{bc} | 884.2 ^b | 846.3 ^{cde} |
| Pusa Katiki | 17.82 ^{ab} | 38.6 ^{ab} | 28 ^{ab} | 400.7 ^{ab} | 407.4 ^a | 404.0 ^{ab} |
| Sarlahi Deepali | 20.60 ^{abc} | 38.2 ^{ab} | 29 ^{ab} | 319.8 ^a | 437.6 ^a | 378.7 ^{ab} |
| Taichun | 36.43 ^c | 57.0 ^{bcd} | 47 ^{bc} | 1057.7 ^c | 1196.8 ^{bc} | 1127.2 ^e |
| Pusa Sarad | 18.77 ^{abc} | 55.3 ^{bcd} | 37 ^b | 517.2 ^{ab} | 772.5 ^{ab} | 644.8 ^{bc} |
| Agheni | 32.63 ^{bc} | 55.2 ^{bcd} | 44 ^b | 825.1 ^{bc} | 1155.3 ^b | 990.2 ^{de} |
| Terai 1 | 17.25 ^{ab} | 44.4 ^{bc} | 31 ^{ab} | 489.3 ^{ab} | 1024.4 ^b | 756.8 ^{cd} |
| Terai 2 | 9.78 ^a | 21.6 ^a | 16 ^a | 244.6 ^a | 369.2 ^a | 306.9 ^a |
| Khumal Jyapu | 62.88 ^d | 61.8 ^{cd} | 62 ^{cd} | 1261.2 ^{cd} | 897.6 ^b | 1079.4 ^{de} |
| Cold Queen | 60.92 ^d | 68.5 ^d | 65 ^d | 1605.2 ^d | 1584.9 ^c | 1595.1 ^f |
| Mean | 30.1 | 47.8 | 39 | 753 | 873.0 | 813.0 |
| F test | *** | 0.002 | *** | *** | *** | *** |
| LSD (0.05) | 16.1 | 18.71 | 17 | 423 | 403.3 | 297.8 |
| CV% | 31.2 | 22.8 | 37 | 32.7 | 26.9 | 39.6 |

***P<0.001, Figures with same letter (s) within the same column do not differ significantly by Duncan Multiple Range Test at 0.05 level

Curd diameter and curd height

The curd diameter and curd height of the cauliflower genotype for both years were shown in Table 15. The mean results from both years showed that the cauliflower genotypes differ

significantly ($P < 0.001$) with each other in terms of curd diameter. From pooled results, the maximum curd weight was recorded in genotype Cold Queen (15.38 cm) which was followed by genotype Agheni (14.6 cm). However, the minimum curd weight was recorded in genotype Pusa Katiki (8.4 cm) which was at par with genotype Sarlahi Deepali (8.5 cm). Significant ($P < 0.001$) differences were observed from both years in terms of curd height. From the pooled results among various genotypes of cauliflower, the maximum curd height was recorded in genotype Khumal Jyapu (11.5 cm) which indicates a high yield. Similarly, minimum curd height was recorded in genotype Terai 2 (6.0 cm) which was at par with Pusa Katiki (6.6 cm) (Table 15).

Table 15. Effect of different cauliflower genotypes on curd diameter and curd height during 5th August planting of 2019 and 2020 at Khumaltar, Lalitpur

| Genotypes | Curd diameter (cm) | | | Curd height (cm) | | |
|----------------|--------------------|---------|---------|------------------|--------|--------|
| | 2019 | 2020 | Mean | 2019 | 2020 | Mean |
| Chinese | 14.31cd | 13.0cd | 13.6cd | 8.74ab | 8.0bc | 8.4bcd |
| PusaKatiki | 9.23a | 7.6a | 8.4a | 7.39ab | 5.9a | 6.6ab |
| SarlahiDeepali | 8.77a | 8.2ab | 8.5a | 7.87ab | 6.2a | 7.1abc |
| Taichun | 15.01d | 13.6cd | 14.3d | 8.45ab | 8.6bcd | 8.5bcd |
| PusaSarad | 11.122ab | 12.4cd | 11.8bc | 6.45ab | 7.2ab | 6.8ab |
| Agheni | 14.19cd | 14.6d | 14.6d | 9.69b | 9.9d | 9.8de |
| Terai 1 | 12.20bc | 15.1d | 13.6cd | 7.98ab | 10.0d | 9.0cd |
| Terai 2 | 10.48ab | 9.7abc | 10.1ab | 6.08a | 5.9a | 6.0a |
| KhumalJyapu | 15.99d | 11.7bcd | 13.85cd | 13.57c | 9.5cd | 11.5e |
| Cold Queen | 15.75d | 15.0d | 15.38d | 9.97b | 9.9d | 9.9de |
| Mean | 12.73 | 12.1 | 12.4 | 8.62 | 8.1 | 8.4 |
| F test | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** |
| LSD (0.05) | 2.32 | 3.58 | 2.2 | 3.13 | 1.490 | 1.8 |
| CV% | 10.6 | 17.3 | 15.1 | 21.2 | 10.7 | 18.9 |

*** $P < 0.001$, Figures with same letter (s) within the same column do not differ significantly by Duncan Multiple Range Test at 0.05 level

Curd weight and Yield

Curd weight and yield (t/ha) of different cauliflower genotypes for both years were shown in Table 16. The mean results from both years showed that the cauliflower genotypes differ significantly ($P < 0.01$) in terms of curd weight. From the pooled results the maximum curd weight was recorded in genotype Cold Queen (842.1 g) which was followed by Taichun (718.8 g). However, the minimum weight was recorded in genotype Sarlahi Deepali (82 g) which was at par with Pusa Katiki (94.5 g). The yield of cauliflower was also influenced by different genotypes during both years.

From pooled among ten genotypes of cauliflower the maximum yield was recorded in genotype Cold Queen (52.6 mt ha⁻¹) which was followed by Taichun (44.9 mt ha⁻¹). However, the minimum yield was recorded in genotype Sarlahi Deepali (5.1 mt ha⁻¹) which was at par with genotype Pusa Katiki (5.9 mt ha⁻¹) (Table 16).

Table 16. Effect of different cauliflower genotypes on curd weight and yield (mt ha¹) during 5th August planting of 2019 and 2020 at Khumaltar, Lalitpur

| Genotypes | Curd weight (g) | | | Yield mt ha ¹ | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|--------------------------|-------|-------|
| | 2019 | 2020 | Mean | 2019 | 2020 | Mean |
| Chinese | 433.2e | 456.1d | 444.6c | 27.1e | 28.5d | 27.8c |
| Pusa Katiki | 101.3ab | 87.8a | 94.5a | 6.3ab | 5.5a | 5.9a |
| Sarlahi Deepali | 65.5a | 98.6a | 82a | 4.1a | 6.2a | 5.1a |
| Taichun | 531.3f | 906.4f | 718.8d | 33.2f | 56.7f | 44.9d |
| Pusa Sarad | 209.1c | 365.7c | 287.4b | 13.1c | 22.9c | 18.0b |
| Agheni | 350.9d | 436.3d | 393.6c | 21.9d | 27.3d | 24.6c |
| Terai 1 | 327.1d | 576.9e | 452c | 20.4d | 36.1e | 28.3c |
| Terai 2 | 131.9b | 211.1b | 171.5a | 8.2b | 13.2b | 10.7a |
| Khumal Jyapu | 398.7e | 398.1c | 398.4c | 24.9e | 24.9c | 24.9c |
| Cold Queen | 807.1g | 877f | 842.1e | 50.4g | 54.8f | 52.6e |
| Mean | 335.6 | 441.4 | 388 | 21.0 | 27.6 | 24.28 |
| F test | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** | *** |
| LSD (0.05) | 40.04 | 32.94 | 104.4 | 2.503 | 2.059 | 6.525 |
| CV% | 7 | 4.4 | 23.2 | 7 | 4.4 | 23.2 |

P<0.01, *P<0.001, Figures with same letter (s) in the column do not differ significantly by Duncan Multiple Range Test at 0.005 level.

DISCUSSION

Cauliflower is a thermo-sensitive plant, so it requires specific climatic conditions for the development of its vegetative as well as economic parts, i.e., curd (Giri et al 2020). Depending upon varieties, early varieties of cauliflower require a temperature of 25-27°C for curd formation. Similarly, mid varieties require 13-19°C for curd formation, whereas late varieties require a lower temperature, i.e., 10–16°C (Choudhury1967). Our results showed that planting dates significantly (P<0.001) influenced the growth and yield of cauliflower genotypes (Table 6, 11 and 16). At the 25th June planting, the genotype Sarlahi Deepali, Pusa Katki and Terai -2 were found earlier maturity and are similar to our earlier finding and recommendation by the national seed board but the yield of these genotypes are very low as compared to new genotypes (Table 2). Our results showed the genotype Chinese gave the highest curd weight (438.6 g) and yield (27.4 mt ha¹) with significantly same maturity days on 25th June planting. On the 15th July planting, Terai 2 had the lowest maturity days (44 DAT) but lower yield (14.6 mt ha¹), while Cold Queen had the highest curd weight and yield (560.8 g and 35.1 mt ha¹) which was at par with Chinese (546.7 g and 34.2 mt ha¹) (Table 11). These indicated that these genotypes also require a higher temperature than the normal season of cauliflowers. For the 5th August planting, the genotypes Cold Queen produced the highest curd weight and yield (842.1 g and 52.6 mt ha¹), followed by Taichun (718.8 g and 44.9 mt ha¹) and Terai 1 (452 g and 28.3 mt ha¹). These results clearly indicated that the different genotypes have different responses to temperature and rainfall, which have been indicated by many researchers in their earlier findings and recommendations.

During early and late winter, the temperature required for curd formation might not match the size and yield of the curd. As early planting, mid-season varieties take long days to maturity and formed small size curd and low yield as compared to winter season varieties. Due to unfavorable environmental conditions like extreme temperatures and droughts, cauliflower might develop different physiological disorders like buttoning, riceyness, fuzziness, and economic loss (Singh et al 2013). Similar results were observed (SQQC 1994) in our earlier recommended variety Sarlahi Deepali and other Indian varieties as Pusa Katki.

Among three different planting dates, the vegetative growth, maturity days, yield attributing characteristics as well as yield of all genotypes was recorded as lowest in June planting, and

it increased subsequently. This might be due to prevailing high temperatures during the entire growth period. As the temperature drops from June to August, the vegetative growth, maturity days, and yield of all genotypes gradually increase. This indicates that most of the genotypes favour cool seasons for proper growth and development. Similar findings were also reported by [Yadav et al \(2013\)](#) and [Poudel et al \(2018\)](#). The findings of this research were also supported by [Pandey \(2003\)](#). According to [Swiader et al \(1992\)](#) temperatures above 25°C affect curd formation and result in defective and poor-quality curds.

From our study, growth parameters, maturity days, and yield parameters of cauliflower genotypes differ significantly ($P < 0.001$) from each other. Variations in plant growth, curd initiation, curd weight, and root and shoot ratio has been reported in tested genotypes. These variabilities might be due to genotypes governed by different genes, which are greatly influenced by environmental factors and management practices. Similar results have been reported by [Santhosa et al \(2014\)](#). [Singh et al \(2010\)](#) and [Mehra and Singh \(2013\)](#) reported similar variations among different genotypes of cauliflower. The variation in the gene can be utilized for the selection of lines for different planting times or they can be for in inter-varietal hybridization to obtain segregating populations.

While selecting early cauliflower genotypes, plant characteristics like growth traits 50% curd initiation, maturity, number of leaves, yield and yield attributing parameters as well as curd quality parameters play an important role ([Bhattarai et al 2014](#)).

CONCLUSION

The effect of genotypes on early season production of cauliflower showed significant differences in days to maturity and yield cauliflower. The differences in days to maturity and yield could be governed by different genes, which are greatly influenced by environmental factors and management practices. Based on the two years' results following conclusion can be made:

- The Chinese genotype gave higher yield and quality production with 51 days of maturity and was found promising for 25th June planting in Kathmandu valley and similar soil and environment conditions due to higher yield and quality production.
- The genotypes Cold queen and Chinese genotypes were found to be promising for the 15th July planting. Even though the Chinese genotype is more preferred due to its 49 days maturity period against 94days in Cold queen based on two-year results.
- The genotypes Cold Queen and Taichun produces higher yield for 5th August planting with maturity days of 79 and 67days. These genotypes were more promising than other mid-season genotypes Terai-1, Agheni, and Khumal Jyapu in Kathmandu and similar agroecological conditions.
- Before variety release and registration, coordinate variety trials and farmer field trials are required for further confirmation in different agroecological conditions.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge Nepal Seed and Fertilizer Project of CIMMYT for providing grant to conduct the research. They acknowledge the National Horticulture Research Centre for providing research plot and seed materials for conducting research. The authors were also grateful and thanks extended to BD Joshi, S Subedi, S Karki, B Khadaka and TP Ghimire who helped them during data collection, analysis of data and their valuable suggestions and moral support throughout the study period.

Authors' contributions

NG Pradhan involved in research layout, nursery, planting, growing, data recording, compiling and analysis, and manuscript writeup. A Srivastava, AK Shrestha and IP Gautam revised protocol, field inspection, and in writing up final manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

Publisher's Note

All claims made in this article are those of the authors alone; they do not necessarily reflect the views of any affiliated organizations, the publisher, the editors, or the reviewers.

REFERENCES

- Ara N, MO Kaisar, KM Khalequzzaman, H Kohinoor and KU Ahamed. 2009. Effect of different dates of planting and lines on the growth, yield and yield contributing characteristics of cauliflower. *J. Soil Nature* **3**(1):16-19.
- Bhattarai DR, NG Pradhan, B Chalise and S Piya. 2014. Selection of Early Cauliflower Variety for Income Generation. *Nepal Journal of Science and Technology* **15**(1):1-6.
- Choudhury B. 1996. *Vegetables. Vegetable crops production technology* Revised edition, NBT, New Delhi; 230p.
- Giri HN, MD Sharma, RB Thapa, KR Pandey and BB Khatri. 2020. Growth status, curd yield and crop duration of late season cauliflower varieties. *Journal of Agriculture and Natural Resources* **3**(2):118-126.
- HRD. 2006. Annual report. Horticulture Research Division. In: Annual Report 2006. Nepal Agricultural Research Council, Khumaltar, Lalitpur, Nepal ; p 98.
- HRD. 2013. Annual report. Horticulture Research Division. In: Annual Report 2013. Nepal Agricultural Research Council, Khumaltar, Lalitpur, Nepal.
- KFVMD. 2013. Kalimati Fruit and Vegetable Wholesale Market Development Board, Government of Nepal, Ministry of Agriculture Development, Kathmandu Nepal (kalimatimarket.gov.np)
- Mehra, M and DK Singh. 2013. Studies on genetic variability for yield and its contributing attributes in early cauliflower (*Brassica oleracea* var. botrytis L.). *Pantnagar J. Res.* **11**(2): 261-265.
- MoALD 2020. Statistical information on Nepalese agriculture 2018/19. Ministry of Agriculture and Livestock Development. Planning and Development Cooperation Coordination Division. Statistics and Analysis Section. SinghaDarbar, Kathmandu, Nepal; 435p.
- Pandey YR. 2003. Evaluation of cauliflower varieties and their planting dates for commercial production under Jumla Agro-Ecological Condition. Agricultural research for enhancing livelihood of Nepalese people. In: Proc. 2nd SAS-N convention, Nepal Agricultural Research Council. Khumaltar 30 July-1 Aug 2003; pp. 207-210.
- Rashid. MA, A Shahabuddin, SN Mondal and AKM Hossain. 1990. Effect of time of planting on the performance of some cauliflower varieties Bangladesh. *J. Agri. Res.* **15**(1):38-41.
- Santhosha, HM, B Varalakshmi and KS Shivashankara. 2014. Characterization of Early Cauliflower Germplasm under Tropical Conditions. *The Bioscan* **9**(2): 869-874
- Singh P, S Kumar, S Maji, and A Singh. 2013. Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance in cauliflower (*Brassica oleracea* var. botrytis L.). *International Journal of Plant Sciences* **8**(1):179-182.

- Singh G, DK Singh and SB Bhardwaj. 2010. Variability studies in November maturity group of cauliflower (*Brassica oleracea* var. *botrytis* L.). Pantnagar J. Res. **8**(2): 202-205.
- Swiader JM, GW Ware and JP Collum.1992. Producing Vegetable Crops. Interstate Publishes. Inc. Danville, Illinois, **pp**: 144-149.
- Yadav M, VM Prasad and CS Ahirwar.2013. Varietal evaluation of cauliflower (*Brassica oleracea* var. *botrytis* L.) in Allahabad agro-climatic condition. Trends in Biosciences **6** (1): 99-100.